1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ANDERIES & GOMES LLP Allan J. Gomes (SBN 225810) Shane K. Anderies (SBN 215415) 601 Montgomery St, Ste. 888 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-8802 Facsimile: (415) 217-8803 agomes@andgolaw.com sanderies@andgolaw.com  Attorneys for Defendants MING YAN, ALFRED RILEY, DAVID VRANE ZHENYU ZHANG, ZHENXIANG GONG, ALEZ ZHONG, MARIA JAIMES, GIL REININ, and JANELLE SHOOK  UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
11		
12	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY,	Case No. 3:18-cv-00933-MMC
13 14 15	Plaintiff, v.  CYTEK BIOSCIENCES INC., MING YAN,	DEFENDANTS MING YAN, ALFRED RILEY, DAVID VRANE, ZHENYU ZHANG, ZHENXIANG GONG, ALEX ZHONG, MARIA JAIMES, GIL REININ, AND JANELLE SHOOK'S ANSWER
16 17	ALFRED RILEY, DAVID VRANE, ZHENYU ZHANG, ZHENXIANG GONG, ALEX ZHONG, MARIA JAIMES, GIL REININ, and	AND COUNTERCLAIM TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
18	JANELLE SHOOK,	Assigned to the Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
19	Defendants.	Complaint filed: February 13, 2018 FAC Filed: June 8, 2018
20		SAC Filed: December 19, 2019 Trial date: January 19, 2021
21 22		
23		
23 24		
2 <del>4</del> 25		
25 26		
20 27		
28		
ں دے		

DEFENDANTS'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIM

Case No. 3:18-cv-00933-MMC

1	
2	(
3	(
4	(
5	4
6	۱,
7	١
8	٤
9	t
10	
11	
12	
13	ا (
14	
15	ا (
16	{
17	
18	-
19	<b> </b> 1
20	
21	۱
22	ا (
23	
24	
25	

27

28

Defendants MING YAN ("Yan"), ALFRED RILEY ("Riley"), DAVID VRANE ("Vrane"), ZHENYU ZHANG ("Zhang"), ZHENXIANG GONG ("Gong"), ALEX ZHONG ("Zhong"), MARIA JAIMES ("Jaimes"), GIL REININ ("Reinin"), AND JANELLE SHOOK ("Shook") (collectively "Individual Defendants") hereby answer Plaintiff BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY ("Plaintiff") First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). The Individual Defendants deny every allegation in the FAC, unless admitted. The Individual Defendants reserve the right to challenged Plaintiff's alleged trade secrets, as disclosed on August 31, 2018, on any applicable grounds. To the extent any paragraph of the FAC alleges, indicates, or suggests the existence of a trade secret, the Individual Defendants deny that allegation.

## **NATURE OF ACTION**

- 1. The Individual Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 1.
- 2. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 and therefore, deny them.
- 3. Defendant Yan admits he headed up Project Newton. Defendants Zhong and Vrane admit they worked on Project Newton. The Individual Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation in Paragraph 3.
- 4. Defendant Yan admits he left BD and became Cytek's Chief Technology Officer. The Individual Defendants admit Cytek introduced the Cytek<sup>TM</sup> Aurora in June 2017. The Individual Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation in Paragraph 4.
- 5. The Individual Defendants admit they downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. The Individual Defendants deny each and every remaining allegation in Paragraph 5.
  - 6. The Individual Defendants deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 6.

#### **PARTIES**

- 7. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 and, therefore, deny them.
- 8. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 8 and, therefore, deny them.

- 9. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 9 and, therefore, deny them.
- 10. The Individual Defendants admit that Cytek manufactures some components for its flow cytometers in China.
- 11. Defendant Yan admits the allegations in Paragraph 11. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11 and therefore, deny them.
- 12. Defendant Yan admits the allegations in Paragraph 12. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 12 and, therefore, deny them.
- 13. Defendant Riley admits the allegations in Paragraph 13. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 13 and, therefore, deny them.
- 14. Defendant Riley admits the allegations in Paragraph 14. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 14 and, therefore, deny them.
- 15. Defendant Vrane admits the allegations in Paragraph 15. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 15 and, therefore, deny them.
- 16. Defendant Vrane admits he is presently employed at Cytek. Defendant Vrane denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 16. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 16 and, therefore, deny them.
- 17. Defendant Zhang admits the allegations in Paragraph 17. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17 and, therefore, deny them.
- 18. Defendant Zhang admits he is presently employed by Cytek as a software developer. Defendant Zhang denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 18. The remaining

Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 18 and, therefore, deny them.

- 19. Defendant Gong admits the allegations in Paragraph 19. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or allegations in Paragraph 19 and, therefore, deny them.
- 20. Defendant Gong admits the allegations in Paragraph 20. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or allegations in Paragraph 20 and therefore, deny them.
- 21. Defendant Zhong admits the allegations in Paragraph 21. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or allegations in Paragraph 21 and, therefore, deny them.
- 22. Defendant Zhong admits that he was hired by Cytek in January 2016 and is presently employed by Cytek. Defendant Zhong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 22. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or allegations in Paragraph 22 and, therefore, deny them.
- 23. Defendant Jaimes admits the allegations in Paragraph 23. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or allegations in Paragraph 23 and, therefore, deny them.
- 24. Defendant Jaimes admits she was hired by Cytek in 2015 and is presently employed by Cytek. Defendant Jaimes denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 24. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or allegations in Paragraph 24 and, therefore, deny them.
- 25. Defendant Reinin admits the allegations in Paragraph 25. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 25 and, therefore, deny them.
- 26. Defendant Reinin admits the allegations in Paragraph 26. The reaming Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 26 and, therefore, deny them.

27.

- 55. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 55 and, therefore, deny them.
- 56. Defendant Yan admits he worked on spectral flow cytometry while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Yan denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 56. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 56 and, therefore, deny them.
- 57. Defendants Vrane and Defendant Zhong admit they worked on Project Newton. Defendants Vrane and Zhong deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 57. Defendants Gong, Zhang, and Jaimes deny they worked on Project Newton and the remaining allegations in Paragraph 57. The remaining Individual Defendants are without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 55 and, therefore, deny them.
- 58. The Individual Defendants admit they worked on flow cytometry projects while employed by Plaintiff. The Individual Defendants deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 58.
- 59. The Individual Defendants admit they worked on BD flow cytometer products while employed by Plaintiff. The Individual Defendants deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 59.
  - 60. The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 60.
- 61. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 61 and, therefore, deny them.
- 62. The Individual Defendants deny they misappropriated confidential files. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 62 and, therefore, deny them.
  - 63. The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 63.
- 64. The Individual Defendants admit they had access to Plaintiff's information while employed by Plaintiff. The Individual Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 64.

65.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 65 and, therefore, deny them.

- 66. The Individual Defendants deny they took Plaintiff's files. The Individual Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 66.
- 67. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 67 and, therefore, deny them.
- 68. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 68 and, therefore, deny them.
- 69. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 69 and, therefore, deny them.
- 70. Defendants Yan, Vrane, Zhang, Gong, Zhong, Jaimes, Reining, and Shook admit they signed an employment agreement. The Individual Defendants deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 70.
- 71. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 71 and, therefore, deny them.
- 72. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 72 and, therefore, deny them.
- 73. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 73 and, therefore, deny them.
- 74. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 74 and, therefore, deny them.
- 75. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 75 and, therefore, deny them.
- 76. The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 76 and, therefore, deny them.
- 77. Defendant Yan admits Plaintiff hired him in January of 2006 in R&D. Defendant Yan denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 77. The remaining Individual Defendants lack

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 77 and, therefore, deny them.

- 78. Defendant Yan admits he worked on Plaintiff's flow cytometers and other projects, that he headed Project Newton, and that he oversaw Defendants Vrane and Zhong on Project Newton. Defendant Yan denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 78. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 78 and, therefore, deny them.
- 79. Defendant Yan admits that he departed BD on January 16, 2015 and joined Cytek as its Chief Technology Officer. Defendants Yan denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 79. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 79 and, therefore deny them.
- 80. Defendant Yan admits that he downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Yan denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 80 and, therefore, deny them.
- 81. Defendant Yan denies the allegations of Paragraph 81. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 81 and, therefore, deny them.
- 82. Defendant Yan denies the allegations of Paragraph 82. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 82 and, therefore, deny them.
- 83. Defendants Yan and Jaimes admit they worked on Cytek's Aurora<sup>TM</sup> and unveiled it at the June 2017 CYTO conference in Boston. Defendants Riley, Vrane, Zhang, Gong, Zhong, and Reinin admit that they were present at the 2017 CYTO conference in Boston. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 83 and, therefore, deny them.
- 84. Defendant Reinin admits he was present at the October 2017 CYTO Asia

  Conference and gave a presentation entitled "Enhancement of Multicolor Assay Performance

allegations of Paragraph 84. Defendant Yan admits he was present at the October 2017 CYTO Asia conference and gave a presentation entitled "A new Standard for Hight Sensitivity Full Spectrum Cytometry." Defendant Yan denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 82. Defendants Jaimes and Shook deny the allegations of Paragraph 82. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 82 and, therefore, deny them.

9 10 11

12

13

8

85.

and displayed the Cytek AuroraTM flow cytometer in a presentation entitled "Expanding Application Capabilities Using Full Spectrum Cytometry." Defendant Jaimes denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 85. Defendants Yan, Reinin, and Zhong admit to being present at the 2018 CYTO conference in Prague. Defendants Yan, Reinin, and Zhong deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 83. Defendant Gong denies the allegations in Paragraph 85. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 85 and, therefore, deny them.

Defendant Jaimes admits she was present at the 2018 CYTO conference in Prague

14 15

16

17

18

86. Defendant Riley admits he was last employed by Plaintiff as a Senior Program Manager and that he did not sign an employment agreement. Defendant Riley denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 86. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 86 and, therefore, deny them.

19 20

21

22

23

87. Defendant Riley admits he worked on flow cytometry projects while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Riley denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 87. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 87 and, therefore, deny them.

24 25

88. Defendant Riley admits the allegations in Paragraph 88. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 88 and, therefore, deny them.

27 28

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

- 89. Defendant Riley admits that he downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Riley denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 89. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 89 and, therefore, deny them.
- 90. Defendant Riley admits he is presently employed as Cytek's General Manager and that he participated at the 2017 CYTO conference in Boston. Defendant Riley denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 90. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 90 and, therefore, deny them.
- 91. Defendant Riley and Yan deny the allegations in Paragraph 91. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 91 and, therefore, deny them.
- 92. Defendant Vrane admits the allegations in Paragraph 92. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 92 and, therefore, deny them.
- 93. Defendant Vrane admits he worked as fluidics engineer on Project Newton, worked on FACSAria, and worked on vacuum fluidics subsystems while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Vrane denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 93. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 93 and, therefore, deny them.
- 94. Defendant Vrane admits the allegations in Paragraph 94. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 94 and, therefore, deny them.
- 95. Defendant Riley admits that he downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Riley denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 95. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 95 and, therefore, deny them.

- 96. Defendant Vrane admits that he is currently employed by Cytek and that he attended the 2017 CYTO conference in Boston. Defendant Vrane denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 96. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 94 and, therefore, deny them.
- 97. Defendant Jaimes admits she was hired by Plaintiff on or about July 2005.

  Defendant Jaimes denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 97. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 97 and, therefore, deny them.
- 98. Defendant Jaimes denies the allegations in Paragraph 98. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 98 and, therefore, deny them.
- 99. Defendant Jaimes admits that she downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Jaimes denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 99. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 99 and, therefore, deny them.
- 100. Defendant Jaimes admits the allegations in Paragraph 100. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 100 and, therefore, deny them.
- 101. Defendant Jaimes admits she is presently employed by Cytek and that she presented at the 2017 CYTO in Boston. Defendant Jaimes denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 101. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 101 and, therefore, deny them.
- 102. Defendant Zhang admits the allegations in Paragraph 102. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 102 and, therefore, deny them.
- 103. Defendant Zhang admits the allegations in Paragraph 103. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 103 and, therefore, deny them.

- 104. Defendant Zhang admits his employment with Plaintiff ended in April 2015.

  Defendant Zhang denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 104. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 104 and, therefore, deny them.
- 105. Defendant Zhang admits that he downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Zhang denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 105. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 105 and, therefore, deny them.
- 106. Defendant Zhang admits he is presently employed by Cytek. Defendant Zhang denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 106. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 106 and, therefore, deny them.
- 107. Defendant Gong admits BD hired him in June 2000. Defendant Gong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 107. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 107 and, therefore, deny them.
- 108. Defendant Gong admits he worked on flow cytometry projects while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Gong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 108. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 108 and, therefore, deny them.
- 109. Defendant Gong admits the allegations in Paragraph 109. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 109 and, therefore, deny them.
- 110. Defendant Gong admits that he downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Gong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 110. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 110 and, therefore, deny them.

- 111. Defendant Gong admits he is presently employed by Cytek as the Director of Software Development and was present at the 2017 CYTO conference in Boston. Defendant Gong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 111. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 111 and, therefore, deny them.
- 112. Defendant Zhong admits the allegations in Paragraph 112. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 112 and, therefore, deny them.
- 113. Defendant Zhong admits he worked on spectral flow cytometry while employed by Plaintiff and Project Newton. Defendant Zhong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 113. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 113 and, therefore, deny them.
- 114. Defendant Zhong admits the allegations of Paragraph 114. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 114 and, therefore, deny them.
- 115. Defendant Zhong admits that he downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Zhong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 115. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 115 and, therefore, deny them.
- 116. Defendant Zhong admits he participated in the 2017 CYTO conference in Boston and the 2018 CYTO conference in Prague. Defendant Zhong denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 116. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 116 and, therefore, deny them.
- 117. Defendant Shook admits she was a Senior Product Engineer when she ended her employment with Plaintiff. Defendant Shook denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 117. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 117 and, therefore, deny them.

- 118. Defendant Shook admits she worked on flow cytometry projects while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Shook denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 118. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 118 and, therefore, deny them.
- 119. Defendant Shook admits she ended her employment with Plaintiff in October 2016. Defendant Shook denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 119. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 119 and, therefore, deny them.
- 120. Defendant Shook admits that she downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Shook denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 120. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 120 and, therefore, deny them.
- 121. Defendant Shook admits she is currently employed by Cytek as a Systems Engineer. Shook denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 121. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 121 and, therefore, deny them.
- 122. Defendant Reinin admits Plaintiff hired him in October 2007. Defendant Reinin denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 122. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 122 and, therefore, deny them.
- 123. Defendant Reinin admits the allegations in Paragraph 123. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 123 and, therefore, deny them.
- 124. Defendant Reinin admits the allegations in Paragraph 124. The remaining Individual Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 124 and, therefore, deny them.
- 125. Defendant Reinin admits that he downloaded files to removable storage devices while employed by Plaintiff. Defendant Renin denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 125.

1	192.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 192.
2	193.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 193.
3	194.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 194.
4	195.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 195.
5	196.	Defendants Reinin and Shook deny the allegations in Paragraph 196. The
6	remaining Inc	lividual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief
7	as to the truth	of the allegations of Paragraph 196 and, therefore deny them.
8	197.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 197.
9	198.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 198.
10	199.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 199.
11	200.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 200.
12	201.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 201.
13	202.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 202.
14	Plaintiff's S	Second Claim for Relief – Aiding and Abetting the Defend Trade Secrets Act of
15		2016
16	203.	The Individual Defendants reassert and incorporates by reference each of the
17	preceding adr	missions and denials in response to Paragraph 203.
18	204.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
19	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 204 and, therefore, deny them.
20	205.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
21	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 205 and, therefore, deny them.
22	206.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
23	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 206 and, therefore, deny them.
24	207.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
25	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 207 and, therefore, deny them.
26	208.	The Individual Defendants deny they misappropriated trade secrets, but are without
27	sufficient kno	wledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of
28	Paragraph 20	8 and, therefore, deny them.

1	209.	The Individual Defendants deny they took, used, retained, or disclosed BD Trade
2	Secrets. Exce	ept as expressly admitted, the Individual Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph
3	209.	
4	210.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 210.
5	211.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 211.
6	212.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 212.
7	213.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 213.
8	214.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 214.
9	Plaintiff's T	hird Claim for Relief – Alleged Misappropriation/Threatened Misappropriation
10		of Trade Secrets Under the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act
11	215.	The Individual Defendants reassert and incorporate by reference each of the
12	preceding ad	missions and denials in response to Paragraph 215.
13	216.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
14	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 216 and, therefore, deny them.
15	217.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
16	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 217 and, therefore, deny them.
17	218.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
18	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 218 and, therefore, deny them.
19	219.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
20	a belief as to	the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 219 and, therefore, deny them.
21	220.	The Individual Defendants deny they misappropriated trade secrets, but are without
22	sufficient kno	owledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of
23	Paragraph 22	0 and, therefore, deny them.
24	221.	The Individual Defendants deny they took or disclosed BD Trade Secrets. Except
25	as expressly	admitted, Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 221.
26	222.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 222.
27	223.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 223.
28	224.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 224.

1	225.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 225.
2	226.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 226.
3	227.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 227.
4	228.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 228.
5	229.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 229.
6	230.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 230.
7	231.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 231.
8	232.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 231.  The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 232.
9	233.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 232.  The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 233.
10	234.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 234.
11	251.	Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for Relief – Breach of Contract
12	235.	The Individual Defendants reassert and incorporate by reference each of the
13		nissions and denials in response to Paragraph 235.
14	236.	The Individual Defendants, excluding Defendant Riley, admit they signed
15		agreements while employed by Plaintiff. The Individual Defendants deny the
16		egations in Paragraph 236. Defendant Riley denies the allegations in Paragraph 236.
17	237.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
18		the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 237 and, therefore, deny them.
19		
20	238.	The Individual Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form
		the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 238 and, therefore, deny them.
21	239.	The Individual Defendants admit they had access to Plaintiff's information while
22		Plaintiff. The Individual Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph
23	239.	
24	240.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 240.
25	241.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 241.
26	242.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 242.
27		
28		

1	243.	Defendant Yan denies the allegations in Paragraph 243. The remaining Individual
2	Defendants lack information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of	
3	Paragraph 24:	3 and, therefore, deny them.
4	244.	The Individual Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 244.
5		Plaintiff's Fifth Claim for Relief – Breach of Contract
6	245.	Paragraph 245 of the SAC is directed to Defendant Cytek, thus no response by the
7	Individual De	fendants is required. To the extent a response is required, the Individual Defendants
8	deny the alleg	gations of paragraph 245.
9	246.	Paragraph 246 of the SAC is directed to Defendant Cytek, thus no response by the
10	Individual De	fendants is required. To the extent a response is required, the Individual Defendants
11	deny the alleg	gations of paragraph 246.
12	247.	Paragraph 247 of the SAC is directed to Defendant Cytek, thus no response by the
13	Individual De	fendants is required. To the extent a response is required, the Individual Defendants
14	deny the allegations of paragraph 247.	
15	248.	Paragraph 248 of the SAC is directed to Defendant Cytek, thus no response by the
16	Individual De	fendants is required. To the extent a response is required, the Individual Defendants
17	deny the allegations of paragraph 248.	
18	249.	Paragraph 249 of the SAC is directed to Defendant Cytek, thus no response by the
19	Individual De	fendants is required. To the extent a response is required, the Individual Defendants
20	deny the allegations of paragraph 249.	
21	Pla	intiff's Fifth Claim for Relief – Alleged Inducing of Breach of Contract
22	250.	This claim has been dismissed against Defendants Yan and Riley, thus no response
23	is required.	
24	251.	This claim has been dismissed against Defendants Yan and Riley, thus no response
25	is required.	
26	252.	This claim has been dismissed against Defendants Yan and Riley, thus no response
27	is required.	
28		

# Case 3:18-cv-00933-MMC Document 154 Filed 01/09/20 Page 25 of 30

1	253.	This claim has been dismissed against Defendants Yan and Riley, thus no response
2	is required.	
3	254.	This claim has been dismissed against Defendants Yan and Riley, thus no response
4	is required.	
5	255.	This claim has been dismissed against Defendants Yan and Riley, thus no response
6	is required.	
7	256.	This claim has been dismissed against Defendants Yan and Riley, thus no response
8	is required.	
9	Plaintif	f's Sixth Claim for Relief - Violation of California Unfair Competition Law
10	257.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
11	is required.	
12	258.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
13	is required.	
14	259.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
15	is required.	
16	260.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
17	is required.	
18	261.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
19	is required.	
20	262.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
21	is required.	
22	263.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
23	is required.	
24	264.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
25	is required.	
26	265.	This claim has been dismissed against the Individual Defendants, thus no response
27	is required.	
28		

275. Paragraph 275 of the SAC is directed to Defendant Cytek, thus no response by the Individual Defendants is required. To the extent a response is required, the Individual Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 275.

### **PRAYER**

- 1. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 1 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 2. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 2 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 3. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 3 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 4. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 4 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 5. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 5 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 6. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 6 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 7. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 7 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 8. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 8 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 9. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 9 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 10. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 10 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 11. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 11 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
- 12. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 11 or to any relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.

1	13. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 11 or to any
2	relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
3	14. Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 11 or to any
4	relief in any matter or amount whatsoever.
5	<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>
6	By way of affirmative defenses to the allegations of the FAC herein, The Individual
7	Defendants allege as follows:
8	<u>FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
9	The Complaint and each cause of action therein fails to state a claim upon which relief can
10	be granted.
11	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	Plaintiff's Claims are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.
13	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each cause of action asserted therein, is barred by Plaintiff's
15	failure to take reasonable efforts to mitigate damages or injury, if any, that would have prevented
16	(or at a minimum, substantially reduced) its alleged injury or damage.
17	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	Plaintiff is barred from claiming trade secret misappropriation as to any items of
19	information that were readily ascertainable within the meaning of that affirmative defense under
20	The Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 and California's Uniform Trade Secrets Act at the time of
21	the alleged misappropriation.
22	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
23	The Individual Defendants allege that they used independently developed their own
24	systems from sources unrelated to Plaintiff's, and never used any of Plaintiff's information.
25	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	The Individual Defendants allege that they used information already in the public domain.
27	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
28	Plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, to the extent, as to each and every

1	contract or agreement alleged therein, Plaintiff has failed to fulfill mandatory conditions precedent
2	to the enforcement of any such agreement or contract.
3	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	The alleged contract(s) upon which Plaintiff purports to bring its Complaint was and is, to
5	the extent that such a contract exists or existed, void and/or unenforceable due to Plaintiff's own
6	prior material breach of the contract thereby excused the Individual Defendant's performance.
7	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
8	Plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, on the grounds that confidentiality
9	agreements and non-competition agreements are barred to the extent that they restrict the mobility
10	of employees, in violation of public policy and California Business and Professions Code § 16600.
11	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action asserted against the Individual
13	Defendants, constitutes and/or arises from an improper effort to restrain competition and employee
14	mobility in violation of public policy and California Business and Professions Code § 16600.
15	Because the effect of each count asserted against Defendants is to restrain competition and
16	employee mobility, in violation of public policy and California Business and Professions Code §
17	16600, Defendants cannot be held liable under any of the purported counts asserted against them.
18	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	The Individual Defendants are entitled to set off from any recovery Plaintiff may claim
20	against them.
21	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	Plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of after-acquired
23	evidence, or the doctrine of after-acquired evidence limits or reduces Plaintiff's recovery of
24	alleged damages.
25	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	Plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, to the extent Plaintiff comes to this
27	Court with unclean hands.
28	

1	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	Plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of waiver	
3	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
4	Plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of laches.	
5	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	Plaintiff's Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, to the extent Plaintiff is estopped by its	
7	own conduct to claim any right to damages or relief against the Individual Defendants.	
8	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	Defendants may have additional, as yet unidentified, defenses available. Defendants	
10	reserve the right to assert additional defenses that are revealed by Defendant's investigation of this	
11	action or through discovery.	
12	<u>PRAYER</u>	
13	WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:	
14	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of its Complaint;	
15	2. That the Complaint and each cause of action be dismissed in its entirety with	
16	prejudice;	
ا 17	3. That Plaintiff be denied each and every demand and prayer for relief contained in	
18	the Complaint;	
19	4. For costs of suit incurred herein, including reasonable attorney's fees, as and where	
20	permitted by law; and	
21	5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.	
22	ANDEDIES & COMES LLD	
23	ANDERIES & GOMES LLP DATED: January 9, 2020	
24	DV. /a/Allan I Comes	
25	BY: /s/ Allan J. Gomes Allan J. Gomes	
26	Attorneys for Defendants	
27	MING YAN, ALFRED RILEY, DAVID VRANE, STEPHEN ZHANG, ZHENXIANG	
28	GONG, ALEX ZHONG, MARIA JAIMES, GIL REININ, and JANELLE SHOOK	